

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

Reserve
aTS1176
.6
.W6L38

NO. TA(AG) 03-75

Edward P. Cliff

ENTIAL PROCESSING PROBLEMS

WITH MIXED TROPICAL HARDWOODS

By

JAMES F. LAUNDRIE, Chemical Engineer

November 1977



LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

AID Report No. 16

FOREST PRODUCTS LABORATORY

MADISON, WISCONSIN - 53705

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOREST SERVICE

AD-33 Bookplate
(1-68)

NATIONAL

**A
G
R
I
C
U
L
T
U
R
A
L**



LIBRARY

SOME POTENTIAL PROCESSING PROBLEMS

WITH MIXED TROPICAL HARDWOODS

By

JAMES F. LAUNDRIE, Chemical Engineer

Forest Products Laboratory,^{1/} Forest Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture

NOV 15 1991
CATALOGING PREP

Summary

Some wood chipping, air classification, and silica problems are identified and need to be considered in the design of facilities for the processing of mixed tropical hardwoods.

Chipping

All of the tropical hardwood logs used in this project had the bark removed prior to chipping in a 47-inch-diameter, four-knife, Carthage chipper. The chips were screened to remove the over- and undersized materials using a gyrating screen having 1-1/4- and 1/4-inch square-holed screens. Shown in table 1 are the amounts of oversize and fines obtained from each species. Unfortunately, with the Philippine species, the reject materials were combined prior to weighing and the relative amounts of each are unknown. However, with the Colombian and the Ghanaian species the oversize and fines were weighed separately. With both the Philippine and the Colombian species, the largest amounts of total

^{1/} Maintained at Madison, Wis., in cooperation with the University of Wisconsin.

rejects were found with the highest density woods. This is contrary to the results found with the Ghanaian species where woods in the same high density range produced very few rejects. Some of the lowest density woods also produced large amounts of rejects, but these were mostly of oversize materials which could be rechipped. Wherever there were larger amounts of rejects from the higher density woods, those rejects were mostly in the form of fines. This is also evident from the results of screen classifying the accepted chips as shown in table 2. The most difficult species to chip was the Colombian wood "Caimo," which had a specific gravity of 0.859 and gave about 55 percent rejects. The main problem with this species was that the chipper knives became dull very rapidly even though this species contained only 0.55 percent silica. With the Philippine species "Antipolo," which contained 4.55 percent silica and had a specific gravity of 0.469, no chipper knife dulling problems occurred.

Other types of chippers and knives were not evaluated because that was beyond the scope of this project. However, these results do indicate potential chipping problems from a variety of causes including wood structure, the amount of silica, wood specific gravity, chipper and knife design, and knife metallurgy.

Air Classification

A major premise of this project was that the higher specific gravity species could be separated from the mixtures to provide fuel with an expected improvement in quality of the pulp produced from the remaining

chips. The feasibility of doing this via air classification was demonstrated and reported in AID Report No. 1, "Exploratory Kraft and NSSC Pulping of Mixtures of 50 Philippine Hardwoods," and in AID Report No. 7, "Ghanaian Hardwood Mixtures for Pulp and Paper." However, air classification was successful only because both the Philippine and the Ghanaian woods lost some moisture between harvesting and the time when they were converted into chips at the Forest Products Laboratory. Shown in table 3 is the variation of wood specific gravity with moisture content of the Philippine woods. From these data it is evident that it would have been impossible to air classify chips made from freshly harvested wood because the wet weight, wet volume specific gravity of all the woods fell into a very narrow range. Some of the lightest woods, on the basis of dry weight, wet volume when freshly cut, contain enough moisture to make them as heavy as the truly denser woods.

The 80 percent efficiency obtained in air classifying the partially dried chips was surprisingly high considering again the wet weight, wet volume of these woods. With further drying, this efficiency could, no doubt, be increased considerably.

Should air classification of chips be necessary to provide either fuel or improved pulp quality, then consideration must be given to determining the best method of drying the wood. Because of the high humidity and frequency of rainfall in most of the tropical forests being considered for implementation of these findings, drying of the chips, with perhaps waste heat in the flue gasses, would appear to be the most reasonable approach.

Silica

The problem of silica in the wood as it affects the chipping operation has already been mentioned. However, in the kraft pulping of these woods, most of the silica will be dissolved into the cooking liquor. Shown in table 4 are the effects of black liquor recycling on the distribution of silica. Four cycles were made on woods with three levels of silica--0.3, 1.0, and 4.6 percent. In each of these cycles one-half of the total water to wood ratio was undiluted black liquor from the previous digestion. Recycling of the black liquor did not increase the amount of silica remaining with the pulps. While the pulps made from the wood containing 0.3 percent silica had about 0.08 percent silica, the amount of silica in the pulps made from the wood containing 1.0 percent silica increased to about 0.3 percent. Unexpectedly, the pulps made from the wood with 4.6 percent silica also contained about 0.3 percent silica.

Regardless of the original amount in the wood, the levels of silica in the black liquors appeared to reach maximums after only two to three cycles. These maximums, however, increased with increasing amounts of silica in the wood reaching 0.08, 0.14, and 1.5 percent, respectively.

With 1.5 percent silica in the black liquors, severe problems, such as reducing evaporator capacity by forming a scale of sodium silicate or other insoluble silicate compounds on the evaporator tubes, could occur. In the recovery furnace silicate compounds are known to gradually form a beehive-like deposit on the walls and between boiler tubes. When there is an accumulation of silica in the causticizer, the sedimentation rate of lime sludge is greatly reduced. These problems need to be considered

in designing a kraft pulpmill for utilizing tropical hardwoods containing silica.

Table 1.--Chipping rejects from various tropical hardwoods

| No. | Species | | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Chipping rejects | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Common name | Botanical name | | Total (+1-1/4 in.) | Oversize (+1-1/4 in.) | Fines (-1/4 in.) |
| PHILIPPINE SPECIES | | | | | | |
| 1 | Tangisang-bayauak | Ficus variegata | 0.236 | 6.1 | -- | -- |
| 2 | Binuang | Octomeles sumatrana | .242 | 7.6 | -- | -- |
| 3 | Kapok | Ceiba pentandra | .244 | 13.2 | -- | -- |
| 4 | Balilang-uak | Meliosma macrophylla | .260 | 6.7 | -- | -- |
| 5 | Rarang | Erythrina subumbrans | .264 | 11.4 | -- | -- |
| 6 | Kaitana | Zanthoxylum rhetsa | .296 | 4.4 | -- | -- |
| 7 | Ilang-ilang | Cananga odorata | .308 | 8.2 | -- | -- |
| 8 | Gubas | Endospermum pletatum | .316 | 4.0 | -- | -- |
| 9 | Dita | Alstonia scholaris | .316 | -- | -- | -- |
| 10 | Anabiong | Trema orientalis | .319 | 12.6 | -- | -- |
| 11 | Hamindang | Macaranga bicolor | .324 | 5.2 | -- | -- |
| 12 | Balanti | Homalanthus populneus | .356 | 7.6 | -- | -- |
| 13 | Mayapis | Shorea squamata | .366 | 4.1 | -- | -- |
| 14 | Matang-arau | Melicope triphylla | .381 | 6.7 | -- | -- |
| 15 | Malasantol | Sandoricum vidalii | .394 | 8.3 | -- | -- |
| 16 | White lauan | Pentacme contorta | .401 | 4.8 | -- | -- |
| 17 | Tulo | Alphitonia philippinensis | .422 | 12.6 | -- | -- |
| 18 | Tangile | Shorea polysperma | .429 | 5.3 | -- | -- |
| 19 | Pahutan | Mangifera altissima | .435 | 4.8 | -- | -- |
| 20 | Apanit | Mastixia philippinensis | .447 | 5.6 | -- | -- |

Table 1.--Chipping rejects from various tropical hardwoods--continued

| No. | Species | | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Chipping rejects | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Common name | Botanical name | | Total (+1-1/4 in.) | Oversize (+1-1/4 in.) | Fines (-1/4 in.) |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| PHILIPPINE SPECIES--continued | | | | | | |
| | | | | <u>Pct</u> | <u>Pct</u> | <u>Pct</u> |
| 21 | Lago | Pygeum vulgare | 0.451 | 16.9 | -- | -- |
| 22 | Antipolo | Antocarpus blancoi | .469 | 16.1 | -- | -- |
| 23 | Bagtikan | Parashorea plicata | .478 | 9.5 | -- | -- |
| 24 | Sakat | Terminalia nitens | .485 | 8.4 | -- | -- |
| 25 | Red lauan | Shorea negrosensis | .510 | 3.7 | -- | -- |
| 26 | Itangan | Weinmannia luzoniensis | .526 | 4.3 | -- | -- |
| 27 | Piling-liitan | Canarium luzonicum | .549 | 5.8 | -- | -- |
| 28 | Palosapis | Anisoptera thurifera | .554 | 3.4 | -- | -- |
| 29 | Lomarau | Swintonia foxworthyi | .559 | 4.7 | -- | -- |
| 30 | Malabetis | Madhuca oblongifolia | .560 | 3.1 | -- | -- |
| 31 | Dangkalan | Calophyllum obliquinervium | .568 | 5.1 | -- | -- |
| 32 | Panau | Dipterocarpus gracilis | .576 | 3.3 | -- | -- |
| 33 | Katmon | Dillenia philippinensis | .592 | 9.0 | -- | -- |
| 34 | Batitanan | Lagerstroemia piriformis | .597 | 3.1 | -- | -- |
| 35 | Katong-lakihan | Amoora macrophylla | .608 | 5.5 | -- | -- |
| 36 | Narig | Vatica mangachapoi | .618 | 2.5 | -- | -- |
| 37 | Miau | Dysoxylum euphlebiu | .623 | 3.5 | -- | -- |
| 38 | Apitong | Dipterocarpus grandiflorus | .623 | 2.8 | -- | -- |
| 39 | Bok-bok | Xanthophyllum excelsum | .639 | 3.3 | -- | -- |
| 40 | Kamatog | Erythrophloeum densiflorum | .650 | 6.6 | -- | -- |

Table 1.--Chipping rejects from various tropical hardwoods--continued

| No. | Species | | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Chipping rejects | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Common name | Botanical name | | Total (+1-1/4 in.) | Oversize (+1-1/4 in.) | Fines (-1/4 in.) |
| PHILIPPINE SPECIES--continued | | | | | | |
| 41 | Dalingdingan | Hopea foxworthyi | 0.667 | 3.0 | -- | -- |
| 42 | Katilma | Diospyros nitida | .679 | 7.1 | -- | -- |
| 43 | Yakal | Shorea astylosa | .718 | 3.8 | -- | -- |
| 44 | Kamagong | Diospyros philippinensis | .720 | 6.0 | -- | -- |
| 45 | Katong-matsin | Chisocheton pentandrus | .725 | 13.2 | -- | -- |
| 46 | Manaring | Lithocarpus soleriana | .736 | 5.2 | -- | -- |
| 47 | Ipil-ipil | Leucaena leucocephala | .737 | 10.9 | -- | -- |
| 48 | Bolong-eta | Diospyros pilosanthera | .743 | 12.5 | -- | -- |
| 49 | Makaasim | Syzygium nitidum | .778 | 9.8 | -- | -- |
| 50 | Alupag-amo | Litchi philippinensis | .793 | 23.3 | -- | -- |
| GHANAIAI SPECIES | | | | | | |
| 1 | Otu | Cleistopholis patens | .241 | 4.8 | 1.2 | 3.6 |
| 2 | Effeu | Hannoa kleineana | .283 | 6.0 | 5.1 | .9 |
| 3 | African corkwood | Musanga cecropioides | .301 | 10.4 | 9.1 | 1.3 |
| 4 | Obeche | Triplochiton scleroxylon | .302 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 1.2 |
| 5 | Antiaris | Antiaris africana | .312 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 1.6 |
| 6 | Canarium | Canarium schweinfurthii | .337 | 2.3 | 1.4 | .9 |
| 7 | Akoret | Discoglyprena caloneura | .370 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 8 | African mahogany | Khaya ivorensis | .413 | 8.4 | 7.8 | .6 |
| 9 | Dahoma | Piptadeniastrum africanum | .442 | 2.1 | .6 | 1.5 |
| 10 | Gedu nohor | Entandrophragma angolense | .450 | 28.2 | .5 | 27.7 |

Table 1.--Chipping rejects from various tropical hardwoods--continued

| No. | Species | | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Chipping rejects | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Common name | Botanical name | | Total | Oversize (+1-1/4 in.) | Fines (-1/4 in.) |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| GHANAIAI SPECIES--continued | | | | | | |
| 11 | Niangon | Tarrietia utilis | 0.460 | 11.0 | 10.3 | 0.7 |
| 12 | Scented guarea | Guarea cedrata | .485 | 1.6 | .3 | 1.3 |
| 13 | Makore | Tieghemella heckelii | .499 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| 14 | Tallow tree | Allanblackia floribunda | .540 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 6.6 |
| 15 | Lokonfi | Celtis adolphi-friderici | .549 | 4.8 | 4.0 | .8 |
| | | | | | | |
| 16 | Brown sterculia | Sterculia rhinopetala | .552 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 |
| 17 | Eyong | Sterculia oblonga | .589 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 18 | Adjouba | Dacryodes klaineana | .692 | 2.0 | .2 | 1.8 |
| 19 | Afina | Strombosia glaucescens | .697 | 2.6 | .6 | 2.0 |
| 20 | Kane | Anogeissus leiocarpus | .708 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| | | | | | | |
| 21 | Kokoti | Anaopyxis kleineana | .721 | 3.2 | .8 | 2.4 |
| 22 | Ekki | Lophira alata | .808 | 4.4 | .9 | 3.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| COLOMBIAN SPECIES | | | | | | |
| 1 | Peine mono | Apeiba apera | .141 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 5.4 |
| 2 | Ceiba | Ceiba pentandre | .225 | 9.3 | 3.9 | 5.4 |
| 3 | Yarumo | Cecropia sp. | .250 | 16.6 | 13.3 | 3.3 |
| 4 | Cirpo | Pourouma sp. | .369 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 2.1 |
| 5 | Chingale | Jacaranda copaia | .372 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 1.3 |

Table 1.--Chipping rejects from various tropical hardwoods--continued

| No. | Species | | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Chipping rejects | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Common name | Botanical name | | Total (+1-1/4 in.) | Oversize (+1-1/4 in.) | Fines (-1/4 in.) |
| ----- | | | | | | |
| COLOMBIAN SPECIES--continued | | | | | | |
| 6 | Dormilon | Vochysia ferruginea | 0.447 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 7 | Sande | Brosimum utile | .494 | 3.8 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 8 | Sangretoro | Viroula sebifera | .511 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.0 |
| 9 | Arenillo | Catostemma alstonii | .536 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 10 | Canelo | Nectandra sp. | .546 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| 11 | Perillo negro | Couma macrocarpa | .547 | 4.9 | 1.1 | 3.8 |
| 12 | Casaco | Hieronyma sp. | .603 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 4.8 |
| 13 | Carbonero | Enterolobium schomburgkii | .634 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 3.1 |
| 14 | Chocho | Ormosia paraensis | .671 | 6.7 | 2.1 | 4.6 |
| 15 | Carreto | Aspidosperma sp. | .692 | 3.4 | .9 | 2.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| 16 | Lecheperra | Helicostylis tomentosa | .785 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| 17 | Tamarindo | Dialium guianense | .823 | 15.0 | 5.5 | 9.5 |
| 18 | Caimo | Pouteria sp. | .859 | 55.4 | 17.4 | 38.0 |

Table 2.--Classification of screened chips from Philippine hardwoods

| Species ^{1/} | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Amounts | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | +1-1/8 inches | +7/8 inch | +5/8 inch | +3/8 inch | +3/16 inch | -3/16 inch |
| | | Pct | Pct | Pct | Pct | Pct | Pct |
| 1 | 0.236 | 6 | 24 | 31 | 30 | 8 | 1 |
| 2 | .242 | 6 | 30 | 31 | 27 | 5 | 1 |
| 3 | .244 | 9 | 29 | 31 | 25 | 5 | 1 |
| 4 | .260 | 4 | 28 | 36 | 26 | 6 | 1 |
| 5 | .264 | 10 | 47 | 26 | 15 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | .296 | 4 | 44 | 30 | 19 | 3 | 0 |
| 7 | .308 | 5 | 25 | 37 | 25 | 6 | 1 |
| 8 | .316 | 1 | 27 | 40 | 26 | 5 | 1 |
| 9 | .316 | 8 | 42 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 1 |
| 10 | .319 | 7 | 49 | 29 | 13 | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | .324 | 3 | 24 | 37 | 29 | 7 | 1 |
| 12 | .356 | 7 | 42 | 30 | 18 | 3 | 0 |
| 13 | .366 | 2 | 25 | 37 | 30 | 6 | 1 |
| 14 | .381 | 4 | 35 | 32 | 22 | 6 | 0 |
| 15 | .394 | 5 | 39 | 31 | 20 | 4 | 0 |
| 16 | .401 | 5 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 5 | 0 |
| 17 | .422 | 10 | 51 | 26 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | .429 | 4 | 38 | 32 | 21 | 5 | 0 |
| 19 | .435 | 2 | 26 | 34 | 29 | 9 | 1 |
| 20 | .447 | 6 | 29 | 32 | 28 | 5 | 1 |

Table 2.--Classification of screened chips from Philippine hardwoods--continued

| Species ^{1/} | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Amounts | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | +1-1/8 inches | +7/8 inch | +5/8 inch | +3/8 inch | +3/16 inch | -3/16 inch | Pct | Pct | Pct | Pct |
| 21 | 0.451 | 11 | 40 | 28 | 18 | 3 | 0 | | | | |
| 22 | .469 | 7 | 32 | 32 | 24 | 6 | 1 | | | | |
| 23 | .478 | 8 | 35 | 30 | 22 | 5 | 0 | | | | |
| 24 | .485 | 5 | 30 | 31 | 26 | 7 | 1 | | | | |
| 25 | .510 | 0 | 24 | 32 | 33 | 10 | 1 | | | | |
| 26 | .526 | 7 | 36 | 33 | 20 | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| 27 | .549 | 3 | 46 | 30 | 17 | 3 | 0 | | | | |
| 28 | .554 | 1 | 23 | 34 | 33 | 8 | 1 | | | | |
| 29 | .559 | 4 | 41 | 33 | 18 | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| 30 | .560 | 2 | 30 | 37 | 25 | 5 | 1 | | | | |
| 31 | .568 | 1 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| 32 | .576 | 2 | 25 | 34 | 31 | 8 | 1 | | | | |
| 33 | .592 | 1 | 17 | 27 | 33 | 19 | 2 | | | | |
| 34 | .597 | 2 | 30 | 38 | 24 | 5 | 1 | | | | |
| 35 | .608 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 38 | 23 | 1 | | | | |
| 36 | .618 | 2 | 21 | 37 | 33 | 7 | 0 | | | | |
| 37 | .623 | 2 | 17 | 34 | 34 | 11 | 1 | | | | |
| 38 | .623 | 1 | 25 | 36 | 30 | 8 | 1 | | | | |
| 39 | .639 | 2 | 15 | 30 | 39 | 13 | 1 | | | | |
| 40 | .650 | 3 | 18 | 29 | 35 | 13 | 1 | | | | |

Table 2.--Classification of screened chips from Philippine hardwoods--continued

| Species ^{1/} | Specific gravity (dry weight, green volume) | Amounts | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----|-----|
| | | +1-1/8 inches | +7/8 inch | +5/8 inch | +3/8 inch | +3/16 inch | -3/16 inch | Pct | Pct |
| 41 | 0.667 | 1 | 22 | 36 | 32 | 8 | 0 | | |
| 42 | .679 | 1 | 26 | 28 | 31 | 13 | 1 | | |
| 43 | .718 | 1 | 15 | 31 | 37 | 15 | 1 | | |
| 44 | .720 | 5 | 24 | 34 | 27 | 10 | 1 | | |
| 45 | .725 | 6 | 24 | 29 | 31 | 10 | 1 | | |
| 46 | .736 | 1 | 17 | 32 | 36 | 13 | 1 | | |
| 47 | .737 | 10 | 28 | 30 | 24 | 8 | 1 | | |
| 48 | .743 | 6 | 25 | 28 | 26 | 14 | 1 | | |
| 49 | .778 | 2 | 13 | 25 | 38 | 21 | 2 | | |
| 50 | .793 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 36 | 32 | 3 | | |

^{1/} See table 1 for common and botanical names of species.

Table 3.--Variation of specific gravity with moisture content of
Philippine hardwoods

| Species ^{1/} | Moisture content | | Specific gravity | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Fresh-cut (literature) | As received at FPL | Dry-weight, wet-volume | Wet-weight, wet-volume | |
| | | | | Fresh-cut | As received |
| | Pct | Pct | | | |
| 1 | 274 | 171 | 0.236 | 0.883 | 0.640 |
| 2 | -- | 116 | .242 | -- | .523 |
| 3 | 345 | 201 | .244 | 1.086 | .978 |
| 4 | 217 | 83 | .260 | .824 | .476 |
| 5 | 341 | 154 | .264 | 1.164 | .671 |
| 6 | 173 | 83 | .296 | .808 | .542 |
| 7 | 233 | 102 | .308 | 1.026 | .622 |
| 8 | -- | 90 | .316 | -- | .600 |
| 9 | 137 | 95 | .316 | .749 | .616 |
| 10 | 237 | 95 | .319 | 1.075 | .622 |
| 11 | 191 | 79 | .324 | .943 | .580 |
| 12 | 168 | 61 | .356 | .954 | .573 |
| 13 | 124 | 67 | .366 | .820 | .611 |
| 14 | 169 | 65 | .381 | 1.025 | .629 |
| 15 | 96 | 70 | .394 | .772 | .670 |
| 16 | 110 | 81 | .401 | .842 | .726 |
| 17 | 139 | 56 | .422 | 1.009 | .658 |
| 18 | 89 | 52 | .429 | .811 | .652 |
| 19 | -- | 80 | .435 | -- | .783 |
| 20 | 116 | 91 | .447 | .965 | .854 |
| 21 | 91 | 64 | .451 | .861 | .740 |
| 22 | -- | 96 | .469 | -- | .919 |
| 23 | 102 | 50 | .478 | .966 | .717 |
| 24 | 98 | 93 | .485 | .960 | .936 |
| 25 | 103 | 55 | .510 | 1.035 | .790 |
| 26 | 132 | 74 | .526 | 1.220 | .915 |
| 27 | 99 | 45 | .549 | 1.093 | .796 |
| 28 | -- | 68 | .554 | -- | .931 |
| 29 | 87 | 61 | .559 | 1.045 | .900 |
| 30 | 124 | 67 | .560 | 1.254 | .935 |

Table 3.--Variation of specific gravity with moisture content of
Philippine hardwoods--continued

| Species ^{1/} | Moisture content | | Specific gravity | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Fresh-cut (literature) | As received at FPL | Dry-weight, wet-volume | Wet-weight, wet-volume | |
| | | | | Fresh-cut | As received |
| | <u>Pct</u> | <u>Pct</u> | | | |
| 31 | 79 | 75 | 0.568 | 1.017 | 0.994 |
| 32 | 80 | 73 | .576 | 1.037 | .996 |
| 33 | -- | 59 | .592 | -- | .941 |
| 34 | 121 | 74 | .597 | 1.319 | 1.039 |
| 35 | 99 | 83 | .608 | 1.210 | 1.113 |
| 36 | -- | 45 | .618 | -- | .896 |
| 37 | 76 | 60 | .623 | 1.096 | .997 |
| 38 | 83 | 70 | .623 | 1.140 | 1.059 |
| 39 | 93 | 70 | .639 | 1.233 | 1.086 |
| 40 | -- | 65 | .650 | -- | 1.073 |
| 41 | -- | 50 | .667 | -- | 1.000 |
| 42 | 67 | 49 | .679 | 1.134 | 1.012 |
| 43 | -- | 52 | .718 | -- | 1.091 |
| 44 | -- | 47 | .720 | -- | 1.058 |
| 45 | 127 | 60 | .725 | 1.646 | 1.160 |
| 46 | 81 | 52 | .736 | 1.332 | 1.119 |
| 47 | 78 | 55 | .737 | 1.312 | 1.142 |
| 48 | -- | 43 | .743 | -- | 1.062 |
| 49 | -- | 42 | .778 | -- | 1.105 |
| 50 | -- | 45 | .793 | -- | 1.150 |

^{1/} See table 1 for common and botanical names of species.

Table 4.--Effect of kraft black liquor recycling on the distribution of silica

| Cycle No. ^{1/} | Black liquor | | | | Pulp | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Total solids ^{2/} | Ash ^{2/} | Silica ^{2/} | Heating value | Total yield ^{3/} | Kappa No. | Ash ^{4/} | Silica ^{4/} |
| | Pct | Pct | Pct | Btu/lb of solids | Pct | | Pct | Pct |
| PHILIPPINE MIXTURE C--0.3 PERCENT SILICA | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 14.7 | 6.2 | 0.05 | -- | 47.2 | 23.1 | 1.1 | 0.08 |
| 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 46.3 | 21.0 | 1.3 | .08 |
| 3 | 23.2 | 9.2 | .07 | -- | 47.4 | 22.4 | 1.3 | .09 |
| 4 | 23.0 | 9.4 | .08 | 6,562 | 47.3 | 22.4 | 1.4 | .07 |
| PHILIPPINE MIXTURE C ENRICHED WITH TWO HIGH-SILICA SPECIES--1.0 PERCENT SILICA | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 14.7 | 6.2 | .08 | -- | 46.7 | 21.6 | 1.3 | .30 |
| 2 | 19.9 | 8.2 | .15 | -- | 48.0 | 22.5 | 1.5 | .32 |
| 3 | 21.9 | 9.0 | .13 | -- | 47.2 | 21.2 | 1.3 | .29 |
| 4 | 22.9 | 9.4 | .14 | 6,832 | 47.6 | 22.0 | 1.4 | .31 |
| PHILIPPINE WOOD--ANTIPOLO (ARTOCARPUS BLANCOI)--4.6 PERCENT SILICA | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 1.1 | -- | 48.4 | 31.6 | 1.4 | .30 |
| 2 | 19.8 | 8.8 | 1.4 | -- | 48.9 | 32.2 | 1.3 | .29 |
| 3 | 22.0 | 9.3 | 1.3 | -- | 48.7 | 28.9 | 1.2 | .30 |
| 4 | 21.5 | 9.4 | 1.5 | 6,797 | 49.5 | 32.3 | 1.2 | .26 |

^{1/} One-half of the 4 to 1 water to wood ratio was undiluted black liquor from the previous digestion.

^{2/} Based on weight of black liquor.

^{3/} Based on moisture-free weight of wood.

^{4/} Based on moisture-free weight of screened pulp.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022334903

00

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022334903